

## United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan Southern Division

AZAR STAFFELD f/k/a AZAR SADEGHI,

Plaintiff,

-v

Case: 2:20-cv-11085 Judge: Friedman, Bernard A. MJ: Grand, David R. Filed: 03-18-2020 At 12:41 PM

CMP AZAR STAFFELD V US OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT (SS)

U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Retirement appeal office 1900 east street, rm 3449 Washington, DC 20415-355

Defendant.

AZAR STAFFELD Plaintiff, *Pro Se* 341 Corrie Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105 (734) 904-1010

## **COMPLAINT**

Now Comes Plaintiff, Azar Staffeld f/k/a Azar Sadeghi, and for her Complaint against U.S. Office of Personnel Management, provides as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, AZAR STAFFELD f/k/a AZAR SADEGHI, is an adult resident of the State of Michigan who currently resides at 341 Corrie Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48105.
- 2. Defendant, U.S. OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT (hereafter "OPM"), is an independent agency of the United States Federal Government that manages the government's civilian workforce. The agency provides federal human resources policy, oversight and support, administers healthcare, life insurance and retirement benefits for federal government employees, retirees and their dependents, annuity of retirees and

- adjudicates federal employee regular and disability retirement cases.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction in the instant matter as this case involves a claim in which an agency of the United States Federal Government is a party, involves issues concerning federal retirement benefits which originate according to federal law, and seeks to compel specific performance of a determination and ruling by a federal Administrative Law Judge.
- 4. Venue properly rests in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred within this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff worked from September of 2003 to May of 2011 as an Adjudicator for Social Security Administration, a branch of federal government which the retirement and disability is administered by OPM.
- 6. In December of 2010 and April of 2011, Plaintiff suffered two debilitating strokes which rendered her physically and mentally unable to work.
- 7. Defendant, OPM, approved Plaintiff (who at that time was known as Azar Sadeghi) for annuity benefits in February of 2012 as a result of Plaintiff's malady as outlined above.
- 8. Approximately one month later, in or around March of 2012, OPM began to pay Plaintiff annuity benefits of approximately \$2,800.00 per month.
- Plaintiff also applied for Social Security disability benefits in May of 2011 and September of 2014; Plaintiff's 2011 disability claim was denied and her 2014 disability claim was granted.
- 10. As a result of Plaintiff initially becoming approved for Social Security disability

benefits, the amount of the OPM annuity benefit was decreased from \$2,800.00 per month to \$954.00 per month for the time period September of 2014 to November of 2018.

- 11. Plaintiff started to receive Social Security disability benefits in June of 2016. However, in October of 2018, Social Security Administrative Law Judge Matulewicz invalidated his previous findings and related determination, and subsequently ruled without cause that Plaintiff was not disabled.
- 12. As a result, Social Security Administration determined (albeit incorrectly) that Plaintiff was paid in excess of what she was lawfully entitled to and wants its money back as of October 2018.
- 13. Plaintiff requested reinstatement of her OPM annuity benefits to the full amount as a result of Social Security Administrative Law Judge Matulewicz's decision and it was granted.
- 14. OPM assessed an overpayment of approximately \$35,000.00 to the Plaintiff claiming receipt of full benefits from both Social Security and OPM. However, OPM Administrative Law Judge Honorable Georgia Vlahos granted Plaintiff's appeal as OPM's claim of overpayment was not substantiated. Honorable Georgia Vlahos also ordered OPM to investigate the exact amount of UNDERPAYMENT DUE TO THE PLAINTIFF about a year ago and release said amount to the Plaintiff.
- 15. As a result of Plaintiff's s--uccessful OPM appeal, there is an unjustified overpayment assessed to Plaintiff that has now been set aside.

16. Plaintiff has been experiencing a financial hardship due to OPM's unjustifiable delay

in releasing the underpayment amount due to Plaintiff for the three years and one month

that is due to Plaintiff.

17. The amount of underpayment is approximately \$94,770.00.

18. To avoid injustice, Plaintiff respectfully asks this Honorable Court to specifically

enforce OPM Administrative Law Judge Honorable Georgia Vlahos's decision and

ruling.

19. Plaintiff is entitled to an order compelling specific performance by Defendant to release

the underpayment amount due to Plaintiff or, alternatively, for a judgment in her favor

in the amount the underpayment plus court costs and expenses for having to bring this

action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, AZAR STAFFELD f/k/a AZAR SADEGHI, respectfully requests the

Court to enter an order compelling specific performance by Defendant, U.S. OFFICE OF

PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT, to release the underpayment amount due to Plaintiff in the

amount of \$94,770.00 forthwith, or, alternatively, or, in the alternative, enter a Judgment

against Defendant for whatever amount of damages in excess of \$75,000 which Plaintiff is

found to be entitled, plus interest and costs.

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiff, Pro Se

Dated: March 18, 2020

4

Û

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) office of personnel management opin I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Staffeld County of Residence of First Listed Defendant D. C. AZAR (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Case: 2:20-cv-11085 Judge: Friedman, Bernard A. Att (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) MJ: Grand, David R. Filed: 03-18-2020 At 12:41 PM NIA proper CMP AZAR STAFFELD V US OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT (SS) III. CITIZE II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) DÉF PTF DEF ☐ 3 Federal Question □ 4 Π4 ☐ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place ☐ 1 U.S. Government **1** Citizen of This State (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State Plaintiff 2 Incorporated and Principal Place Citizen of Another State of Business In Another State U.A. Government (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Defendant □ 6  $\Box$  6 3 Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) OTHER STATUTES BANKRUPTCY FOREELTURE/PENALTY 375 False Claims Act CONTRACT 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 625 Drug Related Seizure PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) 423 Withdrawal of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 110 Insurance 365 Personal Injury ☐ 310 Airplane 3729(a)) 28 USC 157 120 Marine **Product Liability** ☐ 690 Other 315 Airplane Product ☐ 400 State Reapportionment 130 Miller Act 367 Health Care/ Liability 410 Antitrust ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument PROPERTY RIGHTS Pharmaceutical 430 Banks and Banking 320 Assault, Libel & ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 3820 Copyrights Personal Injury 450 Commerce & Enforcement of Judgment Slander 330 Patent **Product Liability** 460 Deportation ☐ 330 Federal Employers' 835 Patent - Abbreviated ☐ 151 Medicare Act 368 Asbestos Personal 470 Racketeer Influenced and Lishility ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted New Drug Application Injury Product **Corrupt Organizations** ☐ 340 Marine 840 Trademark Student Loans Liability 345 Marine Product 480 Consumer Credit SOCIAL SEGURITY (Excludes Veterans) \* LABOR PERSONAL PROPERTY 485 Telephone Consumer Liability ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 861 HLA (1395ff) 710 Fair Labor Standards 370 Other Fraud 350 Motor Vehicle Protection Act ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) of Veteran's Benefits Act 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending 490 Cable/Sat TV 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits 720 Labor/Management ☐ 380 Other Personal 850 Securities/Commodities/ Product Liability ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI Relations Property Damage 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Exchange 865 RSI (405(g)) 740 Railway Labor Act 385 Property Damage 890 Other Statutory Actions Injury ☐ 196 Franchise 751 Family and Medical Product Liability 362 Personal Injury -891 Agricultural Acts Leave Act Medical Malpractice 893 Environmental Matters FEDERAL LAXSUITS PRISONER PETELIONS ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation CIVIL/RIGHTS 895 Freedom of Information REAL PROPERTY 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff ☐ 791 Employee Retirement Habeas Corpus: 440 Other Civil Rights Act 210 Land Condemnation or Defendant) Income Security Act 463 Alien Detainee 896 Arbitration ☐ 441 Voting 220 Foreclosure ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 510 Motions to Vacate 899 Administrative Procedure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 442 Employment 26 USC 7609 Sentence Act/Review or Appeal of 443 Housing 240 Torts to Land 530 General Accommodations Agency Decision 245 Tort Product Liability SOMMICK STONE 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty 950 Constitutionality of 290 All Other Real Property 1462 Naturalization Application Employment Other: State Statutes 465 Other Immigration 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other Actions 550 Civil Rights Other 555 Prison Condition 448 Education 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 8 Multidistrict ☐ 6 Multidistrict 5 Transferred from ☐4 Reinstated or □2 Removed from Remanded from Litigation -Litigation -Original Reopened Another District Appellate Court State Court Direct File Proceeding (specify) Transfer Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Rosease CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: **DEMAND S** CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN JURY DEMAND: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET NUMBER IF ANY JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE

MAG TITOGE

## Case 2:20-cv-11085-BAF-KGA ECF No. 1, PageID.6 Filed 03/18/20 Page 6 of 8 PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes No
If yes,	give the following information:	
Court:		
Case N	lo.:	
Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	Yes No
If yes	, give the following information:	
Court:		
Case	No.:	
Judge		
Notes		

Azar Staffeld 341 Corvie Rd Ann Arbor, mi 48105





